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#### Abstract

In this paper, we present extended and improved results on the existence of solutions for the one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian impulsive differential equation with nonlinear Stieltjes integral boundary conditions, where the nonlinearity is a a. e. continuous function involving first order and second order as well as third order derivative of the unknown abstract function. We also provide examples to show the valid of our results. In particular, our results unify many known results.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we investigate the existence of solutions for the following onedimensional singular $p$-Laplacian with nonlinear Stieltjes integral boundary conditions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=b(t) g\left(t, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right), t \in J^{\prime}=J \backslash\left\{t_{0}, \cdots, t_{m+1}\right\},  \tag{1.1}\\
y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1,2, \ldots, m \\
y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+N_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1,2, \ldots, m \\
y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+L_{k}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1,2 \ldots, m \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+R_{k}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1,2, \ldots, m, \\
\eta y(0)-\lambda_{1} y^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) y(s) d \nu(s) \\
\eta y(1)+\lambda_{2} y^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{2}(s) y(s) d \nu(s) \\
\eta y^{\prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{3}(s) y^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y^{\prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{4}(s) y^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\phi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s, p>1, \phi_{q}=\left(\phi_{p}\right)^{-1}, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1, \eta>0, \lambda_{i}>0$ for $i=1,2,3,4$, $J=[0,1], 0=t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{m}<t_{m+1}=1$, where $m$ is a fixed positive integer, $\nu, I_{k}, N_{k}, L_{k}$ and $R_{k}$ are continuous and nondecreasing functions for $k=1, \cdots, m$,
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as well as $y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)$with $y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$represent the right-hand limit and left-hand limit of $y(t)$ at $t=t_{k}, b \in C(0,1), b(t)$ may be singular at $t=0$ and/or $t=1$, together with $g>0$ is a. e. continuous on $[0,1] \times(0,+\infty) \times(-\infty,+\infty)^{3}$.

Fourth-order $p$-Laplacian equations with nonlinear Stieltjes integral boundary conditions play an important role in both theory and applications. They have been attracted many people's attention over the years, see ([1]-[32]) and the references therein. They are often used to model various phenomena in physics, chemistry, biology, and infections diseases in the positive energy problems. However, in various situations, including the cases just mentioned above, based on the method of upper solution and lower solution, the existence of solution are easily established, one refers the reader to see ([2]-[33]) for some references along this line. However, the existence of solutions for $p$-Laplacian equations boundary value problems has been investigated by a lot of authors applying various nice methods such as topological degree, the Leray- Schauder continuation theorem and coincidence degree theory, maximum principle and so on, see ([9], [13], [22], [24]-[33]).

In [9](2004), He considered the existence of double positive solutions for the following three-point boundary value problems

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+\widehat{a}(t) \widehat{f}(z(t))=0, \quad 0<t<1  \tag{1.2}\\
z(0)-\mathfrak{B}_{0}\left(z^{\prime}(\xi)\right)=0, \quad z(1)-\mathfrak{B}_{1}\left(z^{\prime}(1)\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+\widehat{a}(t) \widehat{f}(z(t))=0, \quad 0<t<1  \tag{1.3}\\
z(0)-\mathfrak{B}_{0}\left(z^{\prime}(0)\right)=0, \quad z(1)-\mathfrak{B}_{1}\left(z^{\prime}(\xi)\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The author employed a fixed point theorem due to Avery and Henderson.
In [10](2004), He and Ge were concerned with the following two-point boundary value problems

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\phi_{p}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+\widehat{q}(t) \widehat{f}(t, z(t))=0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1  \tag{1.4}\\
z(0)=g_{1}\left(z^{\prime}(0)\right), \quad z(1)+g_{2}\left(z^{\prime}(1)\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The main tool in the paper is the fixed point theorem in cones due to Krasnoselskii.
Vázquez [27](2022) obtained the existence, uniqueness together with quantitative estimates of solutions for a class of the fractional nonlinear diffusion equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} z+\Upsilon_{s, p}(z)=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Upsilon_{s, p}=(-\Delta)_{p}^{s}$ is the standard fractional $p$-Laplacian operator, $0<s<1$ and $1<p<2$.

In [26] (2006), by making use of the weighted a priori estimate, vázquez (2006) studied extinction in finite time of fast diffusion equations (1.5). In [22] (2017), Rynne
pondered the following boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(t^{N-1} \phi_{p}\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}-\tilde{\lambda} t^{N-1} \widehat{f}(t, y(t))=0, \quad 0<t<1  \tag{1.6}\\
\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}_{N}(y)=(0,0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $N \geq 1$ is an integer, $\phi_{p}(s):=|s|^{p-1}$ signs, $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $p>1$ and $p \neq 2, \lambda \geq 0$, with

$$
\mathfrak{B e}_{N}(y)= \begin{cases}(y(0), y(1)), & \text { if } N=1  \tag{1.7}\\ \left(y^{\prime}(0), y(1)\right), & \text { if } N>1\end{cases}
$$

The author presented the results on simple bifurcation and existence of a curve of positive solutions removing certain restriction.

In [16] (2012), by applying the analytic approaches such as comparison principle, vector calculus on networks and maximum principle, etc., Lee and Chung established the long time behaviors of nontrivial solutions for the $p$-Laplacian evolution $z_{t}=\Delta_{p} z$, with $p>1$ and showed that the solution remains strictly positive for $p \geq 2$ and became extinct for $1<p<2$.

In [31](2022), Wettstein investigated the fractional harmonic gradient flow on $S^{1}$ getting value in $S^{n-1} \subset R^{n}$ for all $n \geq 2$, in particular establishing uniqueness and regularity of solutions in the so-called class through small enough energy for the weak fractional harmonic gradient flow: $z_{t}+(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} z=z\left|d_{\frac{1}{2}} z\right|^{2}$, satisfying $z(0, \cdot)=z_{0}$ in the sense $z(t, \cdot) \rightarrow z_{0}$ in $L^{2}$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, putting the existence of solutions. The author generalized and extended many known results (see [20]-[23]). Further, he contemplated convergence properties for solutions to the fractional gradient flow as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Motivated by the results mentioned above, in the paper we study the existence of positive solutions for the problem (1.1). Usually, the problem (1.1) can be used to consider the numerical solutions. In this paper, however, we apply the analytic approaches, such as upper and lower solutions, comparison principle and uniqueness of solution, instead of numerical ones. As far as we know, a lot of nice of works of the problem (1.1) are concerned with the numerical approach, but few works are constructed by the analytic method and fixed point theory. We should also assert here that our results are new and generalize together with improve the results in ([2]-[10], [16]-[31]).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first introduce several lemmas and definitions with notations frequently exploited through the paper. In Section 3, we foremost give a lemma and offer some key conditions. And then, we derive the interesting properties of solutions of the problem (1.1). We also present the main results as well as some their proofs. Finally, in Section 4, we supply some examples to show the valid of the main results.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. [8] Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a real Banach space. A nonempty closed convex set $\mathfrak{P} \subset \mathfrak{X}$ is said to be a cone provided that
( i ) $y \in \mathfrak{P}, \tau \geq 0$ implies $\tau y \in \mathfrak{P}$;
( ii ) $y \in \mathfrak{P},-y \in \mathfrak{P}$ implies $y=0$.

Definition 2.2. [8] Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a real Banach space and $\mathfrak{P}$ be a cone in $\mathfrak{X}$. A mapping $\alpha$ is called to be the nonnegative continuous concave functional on $\mathfrak{P}$ if $\alpha: \mathfrak{P} \longrightarrow$ $[0,+\infty)$ is continuous and

$$
\alpha(\tau t+(1-\tau) s) \geq \tau \alpha(t)+(1-\tau) \alpha(s), \quad s, t \in \mathfrak{P}, \tau \in[0,1] .
$$

Let $\mathfrak{X}=C[0,1]$ be a Banach space with the norm $\|y\|=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}|y(t)|$, and let $\bar{K}=\{y \in \mathfrak{X}: y(t) \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$. Then $\bar{K}$ is a positive cone in $\mathfrak{X}$.

Throughout the paper, the partial ordering is always given by $\bar{K}$. For the concepts and properties of Krein-Kutmann theorems and fixed point index theory, one refers the reader to see [8]. For $\theta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, let
$\mathfrak{P}=\left\{y \in K \mid \min _{t \in[\theta, 1-\theta]} y(t) \geq \theta\|y\|, y(\tau t+(1-\tau) s) \geq \tau y(t)+(1-\tau) y(s), s, t \in[0,1]\right\}$.
Denote
$P C[J, \mathbb{R}]=\left\{\begin{array}{l}y \mid y \text { is a map from } J \text { onto } \mathbb{R} \text { such that } y(t) \text { is continuous at } t \neq t_{k}, \\ \text { left continuous at } t=t_{k}, \text { and its right limit exists at } t=t_{k} \\ \left(\text { denoted by) } y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right), \text {for } k=1, \cdots, m .\right.\end{array}\right\}$
Evidently, $P C[J, \mathbb{R}]$ is a Banach space with norm $\|y\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}=\sup _{t \in J}\|y(t)\|$.
$P C^{1}[J, \mathbb{R}]=\left\{\begin{array}{l}y \mid y \text { is a map from } J \text { onto } \mathbb{R} \text { such that } y^{\prime}(t) \text { is continuous at } t \neq t_{k}, \\ \text { left continuous at } t=t_{k}, \text { and } y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right), y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right), \\ y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y\left(t_{k}\right), \text { exist for } k=1, \cdots, m .\end{array}\right\}$
Obviously, $P C^{1}[J, \mathbb{R}]$ is a Banach space with norm

$$
\|y\|_{P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R})}=\sup _{t \in J}\left\{\|y\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})},\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right\} .
$$

It is noticed that $\mathfrak{P} \subset \bar{K} \subset \mathfrak{X}$. Denote $P_{r}=\{y \in \mathfrak{P}:\|y\|<r\}, \partial P_{r}=\{y \in \mathfrak{P}:\|y\|=$ $r\}, \bar{P}_{r, R}=\{y \in \mathfrak{P}: r \leq\|y\| \leq R\}$, for any positive constants $0<r<R<+\infty$. Let $y^{\prime}=v, y(0)=0, y(s)=\int_{0}^{s} v(t) d t+y(0)=\int_{0}^{s} v(t) d t$.

Now we study the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime \prime}+x(t)=0, t \in J^{\prime},  \tag{2.1}\\
y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+N_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m \\
y(0)-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\eta} y^{\prime}(0)=\frac{1}{\eta} \int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) y(s) d \nu(s) \\
y(1)+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\eta} y^{\prime}(1)=\frac{1}{\eta} \int_{0}^{1} a_{2}(s) y(s) d \nu(s)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We easily obtain the following results (2.1).
Lemma 2.3. Let $x \in C[0,1]$ be positive on $[0,1]$. Then the problem (2.1) admits a unique solution $y$ which is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& y(t)=\frac{1}{\eta}\left\{\int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) x(s) d \nu(s)+\delta\left(\lambda_{1}+\eta t\right) \int_{0}^{1}\left(a_{1}(s)-a_{2}(s)\right) x(s) d \nu(s)\right. \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) x(s) d \nu(s)+\sum_{0<t_{k}<t}\left[\left(t-t_{k}\right) N_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right]  \tag{2.2}\\
& \left.-\delta\left(\lambda_{1}+\eta t\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left[\eta I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+\left(\eta-\eta t_{k}+\lambda_{2}\right) N_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta=\frac{1}{\eta+\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}$, and

$$
G(t, s)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\delta\left(\lambda_{1}+\eta s\right)\left(\eta t-\eta-\lambda_{2}\right) & \text { if } & 0 \leq s<t \leq 1  \tag{2.3}\\
\delta\left(\lambda_{1}+\eta t\right)\left(\eta s-\eta-\lambda_{2}\right) & \text { if } & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. It is well known that the problem (2.1) is equivalent to the integral equation (2.2).

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& A y(t)=\frac{1}{\eta}\left\{\int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) x(s) d \nu(s)+\delta\left(\lambda_{1}+\eta t\right) \int_{0}^{1}\left(a_{1}(s)-a_{2}(s)\right) x(s) d \nu(s)\right. \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) x(s) d \nu(s)+\sum_{0<t_{k}<t}\left[\left(t-t_{k}\right) N_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right]  \tag{2.4}\\
& \left.-\delta\left(\lambda_{1}+\eta t\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left[\eta I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+\left(\eta-\eta t_{k}+\lambda_{2}\right) N_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G(t, s)$ is defined by (2.3). Obviously $A: P C[0,1] \longrightarrow P C[0,1]$ is completely continuous. We conclude that $A$ has a unique nontrivial fixed point $y(t)$ in $P C[0,1]$. Therefore, the problem has a unique solution.

Lemma 2.4. Let $y \in P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R}) \cap C^{2}(J, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime \prime} \leq 0, t \in J^{\prime}  \tag{2.5}\\
y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m \\
y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right) \leq y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+N_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m \\
y(0)-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\eta} y^{\prime}(0) \geq 0 \\
y(1)+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\eta} y^{\prime}(1) \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $y(t) \geq 0, \quad$ for all $t \in J$.

Proof. By simple computation, we can easily obtain the result. Noticing that the graph of $y(t)$ on $[0,1]$ is concave. The proof is omitted.

Throughout of the paper, we suppose that the following conditions hold:
$\left(\mathbf{A}_{0}\right) g \in C\left(J \times(0,+\infty) \times(-\infty,+\infty)^{3},[0,+\infty)\right)$ for $t \neq t_{k}, k=1, \cdots, m$ with

$$
\lim _{(s, x, \varrho, y, z) \rightarrow\left(s, x_{0}, \varrho_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)} g(s, x, \varrho, y, z), \quad \text { exists for } t=t_{k} ;
$$

$\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}\right) b \in L^{1}((0,1),[0,+\infty)), b(t)$ may be singular at $t=1$ and $/$ or $t=0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\int_{0}^{1} b(s) d \nu(s)<+\infty \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\mathbf{A}_{2}\right) g\left(t, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \leq h(t, y)$, and $h(t, y) \in C([0,1] \times(0,+\infty),[0,+\infty)), h(t, y)$ may be singular at $y=0$ and for any $0<r<R<+\infty$, we have

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \sup _{y \in \bar{P}_{r, R}} \int_{\vartheta(j)} b(s) h(s, y(s)) d \nu(s)=0
$$

where $\vartheta(j)=\left[0, \frac{1}{j}\right] \cup\left[\frac{j-1}{j}, 1\right]$, and $j>1$ is a certain natural number.
Remark 2.5. It is easy to know that $\varphi_{q}(s)=|s|^{q-2} s$. In fact, from $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, we can get $\left(\varphi_{q} \varphi_{p}\right)(s)=|s|^{p q-2(p+q)+4}|s|^{p+q-4} s=|s|^{p q-(p+q)} s=s$. Thus $\varphi_{p}(s)=\varphi_{q}^{-1}(s)$.

Remark 2.6. By $\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}\right)$, there exists $t_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that $b\left(t_{0}\right)>0$. Obviously, if $h(t, y)$ is nonsingular at $y=0$, that is, $h \in C([0,1] \times[0,+\infty),[0,+\infty))$, then $\left(\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)$ is satisfied.

Now we investigate the existence of solutions for the following one-dimensional singular $p$-Laplacian equation with nonlinear boundary conditions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=b(t) g\left(t, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right), t \in J^{\prime}=J \backslash\left\{t_{0}, 1, \cdots, t_{m+1}\right\}  \tag{2.7}\\
y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m \\
y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+N_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m \\
y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+L_{k}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+R_{k}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m \\
\eta y(0)-\lambda_{1} y^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) y(s) d \nu(s) \\
\eta y(1)+\lambda_{2} y^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{2}(s) y(s) d \nu(s) \\
\eta y^{\prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{3}(s) y^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s) \\
\eta y^{\prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{4}(s) y^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\varphi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s, p>1, \varphi_{q}=\left(\varphi_{p}\right)^{-1}, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1, \eta>0, \lambda_{j}>0$ for $j=1,2,3,4$, $J=[0,1], 0=t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{m}<t_{m+1}=1$, where $m$ is a fixed positive integer, $\nu, I_{k}, N_{k}, L_{k}$ and $R_{k}$ are continuous and nondecreasing functions for $k=$ $1, \cdots, m$, as well as $y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)$with $y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$represent the right-hand limit and left-hand limit of $y(t)$ at $t=t_{k}, b \in C(0,1), b(t)$ may be singular at $t=0$ and/or $t=1$, $g \in C\left([0,1] \times(0,+\infty) \times(-\infty,+\infty)^{3},(-\infty,+\infty)\right)$.

Now we consider the following impulsive boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}-C y^{\prime \prime}=b(t) H\left(t, y^{\prime \prime}\right), t \in J^{\prime}=J \backslash\left\{t_{0}, \cdots, t_{m+1}\right\},  \tag{2.8}\\
y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+N_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+L_{k}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+R_{k}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
\eta y(0)-\lambda_{1} y^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) y(s) d \nu(s) \triangleq \bar{a}_{1}, \\
\eta y(1)+\lambda_{2} y^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{2}(s) y(s) d \nu(s) \triangleq \bar{a}_{2}, \\
\eta y^{\prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{3}(s) y^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s) \triangleq \bar{a}_{3}, \\
\eta y^{\prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{4}(s) y^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s) \triangleq \bar{a}_{4},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $b(t) \in L^{1}(J), H(t, v)$ is measurable function with respect to $t \in J$ for a. e. $v \in \mathbb{R}$, and is Lebesgue integrable function with respect to $v \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $t \in J$; as well as $\bar{a}_{1}, \bar{a}_{2}, \bar{a}_{3}$ and $\bar{a}_{4}$ are real numbers with $C>0, J^{\prime}=J \backslash\left\{t_{0}, \cdots, t_{m+1}\right\}$.

We adopt the following assumptions for $H, I_{k}, N_{k}, L_{k}$ and $R_{k}, k=1, \cdots, m$ :
$\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right) H(t, v)$ is continuous with respect to $t \in J$ for a. e. $v \in \mathbb{R}$, and is decreasing with respect to $v \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $t \in J$;
$\left(\mathbf{H}_{2}\right) I_{k}, N_{k}, L_{k}$ and $R_{k}: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are nondecreasing for all $k=1, \cdots, m$.

Lemma 2.7. Suppose that the conditions $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{H}_{2}\right)$ hold. If there exist $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ satisfies $y_{i} \in P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R}) \cap C^{4}(J, \mathbb{R}),\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} \in P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R})$ for $i=1$, 2 , and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}-C y_{1}^{\prime \prime}-b(t) H\left(t, y_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)  \tag{2.9}\\
\leq\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}-C y_{2}^{\prime \prime}-b(t) H\left(t, y_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right), t \in J^{\prime}, \\
y_{1}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-y_{1}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)-I_{k}\left(y_{1}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
=y_{2}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-y_{2}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)-I_{k}\left(y_{2}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-y_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)-N_{k}\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
\geq y_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-y_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)-N_{k}\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-y_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)-L_{k}\left(y_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
=y_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-y_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)-L_{k}\left(y_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-y_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)-R_{k}\left(y_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
\geq y_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-y_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)-R_{k}\left(y_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
\eta y_{1}(0)-\lambda_{1} y_{1}^{\prime}(0) \leq \eta y_{2}(0)-\lambda_{1} y_{2}^{\prime}(0), \\
\eta y_{1}(1)+\lambda_{2} y_{1}^{\prime}(1) \leq \eta y_{2}(1)+\lambda_{2} y_{2}^{\prime}(1), \\
\eta y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) \geq \eta y_{2}^{\prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0), \\
\eta y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(1) \geq \eta y_{2}^{\prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}(1) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $y_{1}(t) \leq y_{2}(t)$ and $y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq y_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ for all $t \in J$.

Proof. Let $x_{i}=y_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ for $i=1,2$, and $k=1, \cdots, m$, then we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}-C x_{1}-b(t) H\left(t, x_{1}\right)  \tag{2.10}\\
\leq\left(\varphi_{p}\left(x_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}-C x_{2}-b(t) H\left(t, x_{2}\right), t \in J^{\prime} \\
x_{1}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-x_{1}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)-I_{k}\left(x_{1}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)=x_{2}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-x_{2}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)-I_{k}\left(x_{2}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
x_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-x_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)-N_{k}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \geq x_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-x_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)-N_{k}\left(x_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \\
\eta x_{1}(0)-\lambda_{3} x_{1}^{\prime}(0) \geq \eta x_{2}(0)-\lambda_{3} x_{2}^{\prime}(0) \\
\eta x_{1}(1)+\lambda_{4} x_{1}^{\prime}(1) \geq \eta x_{2}(1)+\lambda_{4} x_{2}^{\prime}(1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus, we easily get that $x_{1}(t) \geq x_{2}(t)$ for all $t \in J$, which implies that $y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq y_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)$.
Let $\xi(t)=y_{2}(t)-y_{1}(t)$ for all $t \in J$. Then we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq 0, t \in J  \tag{2.11}\\
\xi\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-\xi\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)-I_{k}\left(\xi\left(t_{k}\right)\right)=0, k=1, \ldots, m \\
\xi^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-\xi^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)-N_{k}\left(\xi^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \leq 0, k=1, \ldots, m \\
\eta \xi(0)-\lambda_{3} \xi^{\prime}(0) \geq 0 \\
\eta \xi(1)+\lambda_{4} \xi^{\prime}(1) \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\xi(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in J$. Consequently, we obtain $y_{1}(t) \leq y_{2}(t)$ for all $t \in J$.

The following definitions and lemmas can be found in ([12]-[21]).
Definition 2.8. A function $y$ is called a solution of the problem (2.8) if $y \in P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R}) \cap$ $C^{4}(J, \mathbb{R})$, as well as $\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} \in P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R})$ and $y$ satisfies (2.2).

Definition 2.9. A function $y_{*}$ is called a lower solution of the problem (2.8) if
(i) $y_{*} \in P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R}) \cap C^{4}(J, \mathbb{R})$ and $\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} \in P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R})$;
(ii)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}-C y_{*}^{\prime \prime} \leq b(t) H\left(t, y_{*}^{\prime \prime}\right), t \in J^{\prime}=J \backslash\left\{t_{0}, t_{1}, \cdots, t_{m+1}\right\},  \tag{2.12}\\
y_{*}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{*}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+I_{k}\left(y_{*}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y_{*}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right) \geq y_{*}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+N_{k}\left(y_{*}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y_{*}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{*}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+L_{k}\left(y_{*}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right) \geq y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+R_{k}\left(y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
\eta y_{*}(0)-\lambda_{1} y_{*}^{\prime}(0) \leq \int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) y_{*}(s) d \nu(s) \triangleq \bar{a}_{1}, \\
\eta y_{*}(1)+\lambda_{2} y_{*}^{\prime}(1) \leq \int_{0}^{1} a_{2}(s) y_{*}(s) d \nu(s) \triangleq \bar{a}_{2} \\
\eta y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) \geq \int_{0}^{1} a_{3}(s) y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s) \triangleq \bar{a}_{3}, \\
\eta y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}(1) \geq \int_{0}^{1} a_{4}(s) y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s) \triangleq \bar{a}_{4} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Definition 2.10. A function $y^{*}$ is called a upper solution of the problem (2.8) if (i) $y^{*} \in P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R}) \cap C^{4}(J, \mathbb{R})$ and $\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} \in P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R})$;
(ii)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}-C y^{* \prime \prime} \geq b(t) H\left(t, y^{* \prime \prime}\right), t \in J^{\prime}=J \backslash\left\{t_{0}, t_{1}, \cdots, t_{m+1}\right\},  \tag{2.13}\\
y^{*}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{*}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+I_{k}\left(y^{*}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y^{* \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right) \leq y^{* \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+N_{k}\left(y^{* \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y^{* \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{* \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+L_{k}\left(y^{* \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y^{* \prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right) \leq y^{* \prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+R_{k}\left(y^{* \prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
\eta y^{*}(0)-\lambda_{1} y^{* \prime}(0) \geq \int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) y^{*}(s) d \nu(s) \triangleq \bar{a}_{1}, \\
\eta y^{*}(1)+\lambda_{2} y^{* \prime}(1) \geq \int_{0}^{1} a_{2}(s) y^{*}(s) d \nu(s) \triangleq \bar{a}_{2}, \\
\eta y^{* \prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y^{* \prime \prime \prime}(0) \leq \int_{0}^{1} a_{3}(s) y^{* \prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s) \triangleq \bar{a}_{3} \\
\eta y^{* \prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y^{* \prime \prime \prime}(1) \leq \int_{0}^{1} a_{4}(s) y^{* \prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s) \triangleq \bar{a}_{4} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Definition 2.11. We call that the function $g: J \times(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \longrightarrow(0,+\infty)$ satisfies Nagumo-Wintner conditions corresponding to the couple of a lower solution $y_{*}$ and a upper solution $y^{*}$, if there exist invertible functions $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q}^{-1} \in C([0,+\infty),(0,+\infty))$ and functions $b(t) \in L^{1}([0,1],(0,+\infty)), K_{1}(t), K_{2}(t) \in L^{1}([0,1],(0,+\infty))$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(t, \alpha, \sigma, \beta, \gamma)| \leq \varphi_{q}^{-1}(|\gamma|)\left(K_{1}(t)+K_{2}(t)\right)|b(t)|^{-1}|\gamma|^{\frac{1}{q}}, \text { for }(t, \alpha, \sigma, \beta, \gamma) \in D \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$ where

$D=\left\{(t, \alpha, \sigma, \beta, \gamma) \in J \times(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid y_{*}(t) \leq y(t) \leq y^{*}(t), y^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \varphi_{q}\left(|s|^{q-1}\right) d \nu(s)=+\infty \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.12. Assume that the conditions $\left(H_{0}\right)$ and $\left(H_{1}\right)$ hold. Let $b \in L^{1}(J,(0,+\infty))$ and $g:[0,1] \times(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \longrightarrow[0,+\infty)$ satisfy Nagumo-Wintner conditions (2.14) and (2.15) in $D$. Then there exists a constant $M>0$ such that every solution of problem (1.1) confirming $y_{*}(t) \leq y(t) \leq y^{*}(t)$ and $y^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ for all $t \in J$, satisfies $\left\|y^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})} \leq M$.

Proof. Suppose that there exists $s \in J$ such that $\left\|y^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}>M$. Then we have the following two cases:

Case A: There exists $k_{0} \in\{0,1, \cdots, m\}$ such that $s \in\left(t_{k_{0}}, t_{k_{0}+1}\right]$.
Case B: There exists $k_{0} \in\{0,1, \cdots, m\}$ such that $s=t_{k_{0}^{+}}$.
We only consider Case B. A similar argument holds for Case A. Since $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \in$ $C^{3}(J)$ and $y^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t)$, thus we have

$$
\sup _{t \in\left[t_{k_{0}}^{+}, t_{k_{0}+1}^{-}\right]}\left|y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right| \triangleq l_{k_{0}} .
$$

Let $M^{*}>\max \left\{l_{k_{0}},\left\|y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})},\left\|y^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\varphi_{p}\left(l_{k_{0}}\right)}^{\varphi_{p}\left(M^{*}\right)} \varphi_{q}\left(|s|^{q-1}\right) d \nu(s)>\left\|K_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\left\|K_{2}\right\|_{L^{p}} \omega^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\omega:=\max \left\{y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{2}\right)-y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right) \mid t_{1}, t_{2} \in\left[t_{k_{0}}^{+}, t_{k_{0}+1}^{-}\right]\right\}$. By the continuity of $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)$, we can find a constants such that $s_{1}, s_{2} \in\left[t_{k_{0}}^{+}, t_{k_{0}+1}^{-}\right]$such that $\left\|y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(s_{1}\right)\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}=$ $l_{k_{0}},\left\|y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(s_{2}\right)\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}=M^{*}$. Then we have one of the following situations
(i) $y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(s_{1}\right)=l_{k_{0}}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(s_{2}\right)=M^{*}$ and $l_{k_{0}} \leq y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \leq M^{*}$ for all $t \in\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$.
(ii) $y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(s_{1}\right)=l_{k_{0}}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(s_{2}\right)=M^{*}$ and $l_{k_{0}} \leq y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \leq M^{*}$ for all $t \in\left(s_{2}, s_{1}\right)$.
(iii) $y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(s_{1}\right)=-l_{k_{0}}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(s_{2}\right)=-M^{*}$ and $-M^{*} \leq y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \leq-l_{k_{0}}$ for all $t \in\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$.
(iv) $y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(s_{1}\right)=-l_{k_{0}}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(s_{2}\right)=-M^{*}$ and $-M^{*} \leq y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \leq-l_{k_{0}}$ for all $t \in\left(s_{2}, s_{1}\right)$.

Assume that the case (i) holds. The other can be handed in similar way. Since $y$ is a solution of the problem (1.1) and by Nagumo Wininer conditions (2.14), thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}(t) \leq \varphi_{q}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\left(K_{1}(t)+K_{2}(t)\left|y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right|^{\frac{1}{q}}\right) \text { for all } t \in\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we put $s=\varphi_{p}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)$, thus we have

$$
\int_{\varphi_{p}\left(l_{k_{0}}\right)}^{\varphi_{p}\left(M^{*}\right)} \varphi_{q}\left(|s|^{q-1}\right) d \nu(s)=\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} d \nu(t)
$$

Then by (2.17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\varphi_{p}\left(l_{k_{0}}\right)}^{\varphi_{p}\left(M^{*}\right)} \varphi_{q}\left(|s|^{q-1}\right) d \nu(s) \leq \int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} \varphi_{q}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right) d \nu(t) \\
& \leq \int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} b(t) g\left(t, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \varphi_{q}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right) d \nu(t) \\
& \leq \int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} \varphi_{q}^{-1}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right) \varphi_{q}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)\left(K_{1}(t)+K_{2}(t)\left|y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right|^{\frac{1}{q}}\right) d \nu(t) \\
& \leq \int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}}\left[K_{1}(t)+K_{2}(t)\left|y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right|^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] d \nu(t) \\
& \leq \int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} K_{1}(t) d \nu(t)+\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} K_{2}(t)\left|y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right|^{\frac{1}{q}} d \nu(t) \\
& \leq\left\|K_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\left(\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}}\left(K_{2}(t)\right)^{p} d \nu(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}}\left(\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right)^{q} d \nu(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq\left\|K_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\left\|K_{2}\right\|_{L^{p}}\left(\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) d \nu(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq\left\|K_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\left\|K_{2}\right\|_{L^{p}}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\left(s_{2}\right)-y^{\prime \prime}\left(s_{1}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq\left\|K_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\left\|K_{2}\right\|_{L^{p} \omega^{\frac{1}{q}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction with (2.16), where $\left\|K_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}}=\int_{0}^{1} K_{1}(t) d \nu(t)$.
Lemma 2.13. Suppose that condition $\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}\right)$ holds. Then there exists a constant $\theta \in$ ( $0, \frac{1}{2}$ ) satisfies

$$
0<\int_{\theta}^{1-\theta} b(s) d \nu(s)<+\infty
$$

Proof. It follows from $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and (2.6) that

$$
0<\int_{\theta}^{1-\theta} b(s) d \nu(s)<\int_{0}^{1} b(s) d \nu(s)<+\infty
$$

The proof is completed.
Lemma 2.14. Suppose that conditions $\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{0}\right)$ as well as $\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{2}\right)$ hold. Then $T: \bar{P}_{r, R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ is completely continuous.

Proof. It is easily to show that $T: \bar{P}_{r, R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$. Next, for any positive constants $0<r<R<+\infty$, we will show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{y \in \partial \bar{P}_{r, R}} \int_{[0,1]} b(s) h(s, y(s)) d \nu(s)<+\infty \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $T: \mathfrak{P} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ is well defined.
By ( $\mathbf{A}_{2}$ ), for any $0<r<R<+\infty$, there exists a natural number $j$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{y \in \partial \bar{P}_{r, R}} \int_{\vartheta(j)} b(s) h(s, y(s)) d \nu(s)<1 \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $y \in \partial P_{r}$, let $y\left(t_{0}\right)=\max _{t \in[0,1]}|y(t)|=r, t_{0} \in[0,1]$. Denote

$$
\chi_{\vartheta[a, b]}(t)= \begin{cases}1, & t \in[a, b], \\ 0, & t \notin[a, b]\end{cases}
$$

is the eigenvalue function of the set $\vartheta[a, b]=\{t \mid a \leq t \leq b\}$. Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta^{*}=\max \left\{h(t, y) \left\lvert\,(t, y) \in([0,1] \backslash \vartheta(j)) \times\left[\frac{r}{j}, R\right]\right., j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}\right\} . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from $\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)$ with (2.19) -(2.20) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{y \in \partial \bar{P}_{r, R}} \int_{[0,1]} b(s) h(s, y(s)) d \nu(s) \leq \sup _{y \in \partial \bar{P}_{r, R}} \int_{\vartheta(j)} b(s) h(s, y(s)) d \nu(s) \\
& +\sup _{y \in \partial \bar{P}_{r, R}} \int_{[0,1] \backslash \vartheta(j)} b(s) h(s, y(s)) d \nu(s) \leq 1+\Theta^{*} \int_{0}^{1} b(s) d \nu(s)<+\infty \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

i. e., (2.18) holds. This also implies $T: \bar{P}_{r, R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ is well defined and $T(Q)$ is uniformly bounded for any bounded set $Q \subset \bar{P}_{r, R}$.

By simple computing and deducing, we can see that $T\left(\bar{P}_{r, R}\right)$ is equicontinuous. Thus, by the Ascoli-Arzela theorem, we know that $T: \bar{P}_{r, R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ is compact.

Finally we known that $T: \bar{P}_{r, R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ is continuous. In fact, for any $y_{n}, y_{0} \in \bar{P}_{r, R}$ and $\left\|y_{n}-y_{0}\right\| \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$. Then $\left\|T y_{n}-T y_{0}\right\| \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$. This completes the proof.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we present and prove our main results.
We will assume that the existence of an ordered pair of lower and upper solutions $y_{*}$ and $y^{*}$ satisfying $y_{*}(t) \leq y^{*}(t)$ and $y^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t)$, for all $t \in J$, and on the nonlinearity $g$, we shall impose the following additional conditions.
$\left(\mathbf{A}_{3}\right) b(t)\left(g\left(t, y_{1}, \sigma, \beta, \gamma\right)-g\left(t, y_{2}, 1, \beta, \gamma\right)\right) \leq 0$ for all $t \in J$,

$$
y_{*}(t) \leq y_{1}(t) \leq y_{2}(t) \leq y^{*}(t), \quad y^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq \beta(t) \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t) \quad \text { and } \sigma, \gamma \in \mathbb{R} ;
$$

$\left(\mathbf{A}_{4}\right)$ There exists a real number $C>0$ such that the function $\beta \mapsto b(t) g(t, y, \sigma, \beta, \gamma)-$ $C \beta$ is decreasing for all $t \in J$,

$$
y_{*}(t) \leq y(t) \leq y^{*}(t), \quad y^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq \beta(t) \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t) \quad \text { and } \sigma, \gamma \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Let $\gamma_{*}(t), \gamma^{*}(t) \in P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R}) \cap C^{4}(J, \mathbb{R})$ be fixed such that
(i) $\varphi_{p}\left(\gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right), \varphi_{p}\left(\gamma^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right) \in P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R})$.
(ii) $y_{*} \leq \gamma_{*} \leq \gamma^{*} \leq y^{*}$ in $J$.
(iii) $y^{* \prime \prime} \leq \gamma^{* \prime} \leq \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime} \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}$ in $J$.

Denote

$$
M_{0}>\max \left\{M^{*},\left\|y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})},\left\|y^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right\} .
$$

We consider the following problems

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}-C y^{\prime \prime}=b(t) g\left(t, \gamma^{*}, 1, \gamma^{* \prime \prime}, M_{0}\right)-C \gamma^{* \prime \prime}, t \in J^{\prime},  \tag{3.1}\\
y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+N_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+L_{k}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+R_{k}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
\eta y(0)-\lambda_{1} y^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) \gamma^{*}(s) d \nu(s) \\
\eta y(1)+\lambda_{2} y^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{2}(s) \gamma^{*}(s) d \nu(s) \\
\eta y^{\prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{3}(s) \gamma^{* \prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y^{\prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{4}(s) \gamma^{* \prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}-C y^{\prime \prime}=b(t) g\left(t, \gamma_{*}, 1, \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime}, M_{0}\right)-C \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime}, t \in J^{\prime},  \tag{3.2}\\
y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+N_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+L_{k}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+R_{k}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
\eta y(0)-\lambda_{1} y^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) \gamma_{*}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y(1)+\lambda_{2} y^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{2}(s) \gamma_{*}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y^{\prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{3}(s) \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y^{\prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{4}(s) \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The following preliminary Lemma will play a key role to prove our main results.
Lemma 3.1. Let $\gamma_{*}$ and $\gamma^{*}$ be a lower and upper solutions respectively of problem (1.1) such that $\gamma_{*} \leq \gamma^{*}$ and $\gamma_{*} \leq 1, \gamma^{* \prime \prime} \leq \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime}$ in J. Assume that the hypothesis $\left(A_{i}\right)$ for $i=0,1,2,3,4$ and $\left(H_{1}\right)$ with $\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold, as well as the Nagumo Wintner conditions (2.14) with (2.15) relative to a lower solution $y_{*}$ and upper solution $y^{*}$ respectively of problem (1.1) are satisfied. Then there exists a unique solutions $y^{\wedge}$ and $y_{\curlyvee}$ respectively for the problems (3.1) and (3.2) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{*} \leq \gamma_{*} \leq y_{\curlyvee} \leq y^{\curlywedge} \leq \gamma^{*} \leq y^{*} \text { in } J, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{* \prime \prime} \leq \gamma^{* \prime} \leq y^{\curlywedge \prime \prime} \leq y_{\curlyvee}^{\prime \prime} \leq \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime} \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { in } J . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof will be given in two steps.
Step I. $\gamma_{*}$ is a lower solution of the problem (3.1).
If $t \in J^{\prime}$, then by using $\left(\mathbf{A}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{A}_{4}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\varphi_{p}\left(\gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}-C \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime} \leq b(t) g\left(t, \gamma_{*}, \gamma_{*}^{\prime}, \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime}, \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)-C \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \leq b(t) g\left(t, \gamma_{*}, \gamma_{*}^{\prime}, \gamma^{* \prime \prime}, \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)-C \gamma^{* \prime \prime} \leq b(t) g\left(t, \gamma^{*}, \gamma_{*}^{\prime}, \gamma^{* \prime \prime}, \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)-C \gamma^{* \prime \prime}
\end{align*}
$$

That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}-C \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime} \leq b(t) g\left(t, \gamma^{*}, \gamma_{*}^{\prime}, \gamma^{* \prime \prime}, \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)-C \gamma^{* \prime \prime} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now since $\gamma_{*}$ is a lower solution of the problem (1.1) and $y_{*} \leq \gamma_{*} \leq y^{*}$ in $J$, then by using a similar proof to that of Lemma 2.12, we have $\left\|\gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})} \leq M^{*}$. Then by (3.6) and ( $\mathbf{A}_{3}$ ) together with ( $\mathbf{A}_{4}$ ), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(\gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}-C \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime} \leq b(t) g\left(t, \gamma^{*}, 1, \gamma^{* \prime \prime},\left\|\gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right)-C \gamma^{* \prime \prime}, \quad \forall t \in J . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{*}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=\gamma_{*}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+I_{k}\left(\gamma_{*}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \text { if } t=t_{k}, k=1, \ldots, m,  \tag{3.8}\\
\gamma_{*}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right) \geq \gamma_{*}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+N_{k}\left(\gamma_{*}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \text { if } t=t_{k}, k=1, \ldots, m, \\
\gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=\gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+L_{k}\left(\gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \text { if } t=t_{k}, k=1, \ldots, m, \\
\gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right) \geq \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+R_{k}\left(\gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \text { if } t=t_{k}, k=1, \ldots, m, \\
\eta \gamma_{*}(0)-\lambda_{1} \gamma_{*}^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) \gamma_{*}(s) d \nu(s) \leq \int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) \gamma^{*}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta \gamma_{*}(1)+\lambda_{2} \gamma_{*}^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{2}(s) \gamma_{*}(s) d \nu(s) \leq \int_{0}^{1} a_{2}(s) \gamma^{*}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{3}(s) \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{4}(s) \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, it follows (3.7) and (3.8) that $\gamma_{*}$ is a lower solution of the problem (3.1).
Step II. $\gamma^{*}$ is a upper solution of the problem (3.1). The proof is similar to that of Step I., so it is omitted.

By Step I. and Step II. since $b(t) \in L^{1}(J), g\left(t, \gamma^{*}, 1, \gamma^{* \prime \prime},\left\|\gamma^{* \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right)$ and $\left\|y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}$ are bounded, then by Lemma 2.7, there exists a unique solution $y^{\curlywedge}$ of the problem (3.1) such that $\gamma_{*} \leq y^{\curlywedge} \leq \gamma^{*}$ and $\gamma^{* \prime \prime} \leq y^{\wedge \prime \prime} \leq \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime}$.

Similarly, we can prove that the problem (3.2) admits unique solution $y_{\curlyvee}$ such that $\gamma_{*} \leq y_{\curlyvee} \leq \gamma^{*}$ and $\gamma^{* \prime \prime} \leq y_{\curlyvee}^{\prime \prime} \leq \gamma_{*}^{\prime \prime}$.

Finally by using a proof similar to that of Lemma 2.7, we obtain $y_{\curlyvee} \leq y^{\curlywedge}$ and $y^{\wedge \prime} \leq y_{\curlyvee}^{\prime \prime}$ in $J$. The proof of Lemma 3.1 is complete.

The main result of this work is as the following:
Theorem 3.2. Let $y_{*}(t)$ and $y^{*}(t)$ be a lower and upper solution respectively for problem (1.1) such that $y_{*}(t) \leq y^{*}(t)$ and $y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq y^{* \prime \prime}(t)$ in J. Assume that the conditions $\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{i}\right)$ for $i=0,1,2,3,4$ and $\left(H_{1}\right)$ with $\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold, and the Nagumo Wintner conditions (2.14) with (2.15) relative to a lower solution $y_{*}$ and upper solution $y^{*}$ respectively of problem (1.1) are satisfied. Then the problem (1.1) has maximal solution $y_{\sharp}$ and minimal solution $y^{\sharp}$ such that for every solution $y$ of (1.1) with $y_{*}(t) \leq y(t) \leq y^{*}(t)$ in J, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{*}(t) \leq y^{\sharp}(t) \leq y(t) \leq y_{\sharp}(t) \leq y^{*}(t), \quad t \in J \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y^{\sharp \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t), \quad t \in J . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. There are three steps. We take $z_{*}, z^{*} \in P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R})$ fixed such that
(i) $\left(\varphi_{p}\left(z_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime},\left(\varphi_{p}\left(z^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} \in P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R})$.
(ii) $y_{*} \leq z_{*} \leq z^{*} \leq y^{*}$ and $y^{* \prime \prime} \leq z^{* \prime \prime} \leq z_{*}^{\prime \prime} \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}$ in $J$.

We define the sequences $\left\{y_{*_{n}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{y_{n}^{*}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{*}^{\{0\}}=y_{*},  \tag{3.11}\\
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}(t)-C y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime \prime}(t)=b(t) g_{n}^{\prime}(t), t \in J^{\prime}, \\
y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+I_{k}\left(y_{*_{n+1}}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+N_{k}\left(y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y_{*_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+L_{k}\left(y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+R_{k}\left(y_{*_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
\eta y_{*_{n+1}}(0)-\lambda_{1} y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) y_{*_{n}}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y_{*_{n+1}}(1)+\lambda_{2} y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{2}(s) y_{*_{n}}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{3}(s) y_{*_{n}}^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{4}(s) y_{*_{n}}^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{*\{0\}}=y^{*},  \tag{3.12}\\
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}(t)-C y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime}(t)=b(t) g_{n}^{\curlyvee}(t), t \in J^{\prime}, \\
y_{n+1}^{*}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{n+1}^{*}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+I_{k}\left(y_{n+1}^{*}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y_{n+1}^{* \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{n+1}^{* \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+N_{k}\left(y_{n+1}^{* \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{n+1}^{* \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+L_{k}\left(y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+R_{k}\left(y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
\eta y_{n+1}^{*}(0)-\lambda_{1} y_{n+1}^{* \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) y_{n}^{*}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y_{n+1}^{*}(1)+\lambda_{2} y_{n+1}^{* \prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{2}(s) y_{n}^{*}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{3}(s) y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{4}(s) y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
g_{n}^{\curlywedge}(t)=g\left(t, y_{*_{n}}, y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime}, y_{*_{n} n}^{\prime \prime},\left\|y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right)-C y_{*_{n}}^{\prime \prime}(t)
$$

and

$$
g_{n}^{\curlyvee}(t)=g\left(t, y_{n}^{*}, y_{n+1}^{* \prime}, y_{n}^{* \prime \prime},\left\|y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right)-C y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}(t) .
$$

Noticing that by lemma 2.7., the sequences $\left\{y_{*_{n}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{y_{n}^{*}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are well defined.
Step $\mathbf{I}^{\star}$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
y_{*}(t) \leq y_{*_{1}}(t) \leq \cdots \leq y_{*_{n}}(t) \leq y_{*_{n+1}}(t) \leq y_{n+1}^{*}(t) \leq y_{n}^{*}(t) \leq \cdots \leq y_{1}^{*}(t) \leq y^{*}(t),
$$

for all $t \in J$
and

$$
y^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{1}^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq \cdots \leq y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*_{n}}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq \cdots \leq y_{*_{1}}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t),
$$

for all $t \in J$.

For $n=0$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{*_{1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}(t)-C y_{*_{1}}^{\prime \prime}(t)  \tag{3.13}\\
=b(t) g\left(t, y_{*}, y_{*}^{\prime}, y_{*}^{\prime \prime},\left\|y_{*_{1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R})}\right)-C y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t), t \in J^{\prime} \\
y_{*_{1}}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{*_{1}}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+I_{k}\left(y_{*_{1}}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m \\
y_{*_{1}}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{*_{1}}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+N_{k}\left(y_{*_{1}}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m \\
y_{*_{1_{1}}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{*_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+L_{k}\left(y_{*_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m \\
y_{*_{1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{*_{1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+R_{k}\left(y_{*_{1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m \\
\eta y_{*_{1}}(0)-\lambda_{1} y_{*_{1}^{\prime}}^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) y_{*}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y_{*_{1}}(1)+\lambda_{2} y_{*_{1}}^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{2}(s) y_{*}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y_{*_{1}}^{\prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y_{*_{1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{3}(s) y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y_{*_{1}}^{\prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y_{*_{1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{4}(s) y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}(t)-C y_{1}^{* \prime \prime}(t)  \tag{3.14}\\
=b(t) g\left(t, y^{*}, y^{* \prime}, y^{* \prime \prime},\left\|y_{1}^{* \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C^{1}}(J, \mathbb{R})\right)-C y^{* \prime \prime}, \quad t \in J^{\prime} \\
y_{1}^{*}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{1}^{*}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+I_{k}\left(y_{1}^{*}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m \\
y_{1}^{* \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{1}^{* \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+N_{k}\left(y_{1}^{* \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m \\
y_{1}^{* \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{1}^{* \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+L_{k}\left(y_{1}^{* \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m \\
y_{1}^{* \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{1}^{* \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+R_{k}\left(y_{1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m \\
\eta y_{1}^{*}(0)-\lambda_{1} y_{1}^{* \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) y^{*}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y_{1}^{*}(1)+\lambda_{2} y_{1}^{* \prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{2}(s) y^{*}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y_{1}^{* \prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y_{1}^{y^{\prime \prime \prime}}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{3}(s) y^{* \prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s) \\
\eta y_{1}^{* \prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y_{1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{4}(s) y^{* \prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $y_{*}$ and $y^{*}$ are respectively lower and upper solutions of the problem (1.1), then by the Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
y_{*}(t) \leq y_{*_{1}}(t) \leq y_{1}^{*}(t) \leq y^{*}(t), \quad \text { for all } t \in J
$$

and

$$
y^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{1}^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*_{1}}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t), \quad \text { for all } t \in J .
$$

Assume that for a fixed $n>1$, we have

$$
y_{*}(t) \leq y_{*_{n-1}}(t) \leq y_{*_{n}}(t) \leq y_{n}^{*}(t) \leq y_{n-1}^{*}(t) \leq y^{*}(t), \text { for all } t \in J
$$

and

$$
y^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{n-1}^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*_{n}}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*_{n-1}}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t), \text { for all } t \in J .
$$

Thus we prove that

$$
y_{*}(t) \leq y_{*_{n}}(t) \leq y_{*_{n+1}}(t) \leq y_{n+1}^{*}(t) \leq y_{n}^{*}(t) \leq y^{*}(t), \text { for all } t \in J
$$

and

$$
y^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*_{n}}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t), \quad \text { for all } t \in J .
$$

Then we show that

$$
y_{*}(t) \leq y_{*_{n}}(t) \leq y_{*_{n+1}}(t) \leq y_{n+1}^{*}(t) \leq y_{n}^{*}(t) \leq y^{*}(t), \text { for all } t \in J
$$

and

$$
y^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*_{n}}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t), \text { for all } t \in J .
$$

If $t \in J^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{n}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}(t)-C y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}(t)=b(t) g\left(t, y_{n-1}^{*}, y_{n}^{* \prime}, y_{n-1}^{* \prime \prime},\left\|y_{n}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right)-C y_{n-1}^{* \prime \prime}(t), \quad t \in J^{\prime}
$$

Since $y_{n}^{*}(t) \leq y_{n-1}^{*}(t)$ and $y_{n-1}^{* \prime \prime} \leq y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}$ and by using the hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{A}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{A}_{4}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b(t) g\left(t, y_{n-1}^{*}, y_{n}^{* \prime}, y_{n-1}^{* \prime \prime},\left\|y_{n}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right)-C y_{n-1}^{* \prime \prime} \\
& \geq b(t) g\left(t, y_{n}^{*}, y_{n}^{* \prime}, y_{n-1}^{* \prime \prime},\left\|y_{n}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right)-C y_{n-1}^{* \prime \prime} \\
& \geq b(t) g\left(t, y_{n}^{*}, y_{n}^{* \prime}, y_{n}^{* \prime \prime},\left\|y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right)-C y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{n}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}(t) \geq b(t) g\left(t, y_{n}^{*}, y_{n}^{* \prime}, y_{n}^{* \prime \prime},\left\|y_{n}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right) . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by using a proof similar to that of Lemma 2.12., we have $\left\|y_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})} \leq M^{*}$, then by making use of (3.15), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{n}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}(t) \geq b(t) g\left(t, y_{n}^{*}, y_{n}^{* \prime}, y_{n}^{* \prime \prime},\left\|y_{n}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right), \quad t \in J^{\prime} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for $k=1, \cdots, m$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}^{*}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{n}^{*}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+I_{k}\left(y_{n}^{*}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m,  \tag{3.17}\\
y_{n}^{* \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{n}^{* \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+N_{k}\left(y_{n}^{* \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m, \\
y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+L_{k}\left(y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m \\
y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{n}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+R_{k}\left(y_{n}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta y_{n}(0)-\lambda_{1} y_{n}^{* \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) y_{n-1}^{*}(s) d \nu(s) \geq \int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) y_{n}^{*}(s) d \nu(s),  \tag{3.18}\\
\eta y_{n}(1)+\lambda_{2} y_{n}^{* \prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{2}(s) y_{n-1}^{*}(s) d \nu(s) \geq \int_{0}^{1} a_{2}(s) y_{n}^{*}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y_{n}^{* \prime \prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{3}(s) y_{n-1}^{* \prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s) \leq \int_{0}^{1} a_{3}(s) y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y_{n}^{* \prime \prime \prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{4}(s) y_{n-1}^{* \prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s) \leq \int_{0}^{1} a_{4}(s) y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then by (3.16) with (3.17) and (3.18), it follows that $y_{n}^{*}$ is a upper solution of the problem the problem (1.1).

Similarly, we show that $y_{*_{n}}$ is a lower solution of the problem (1.1) and consequently by Lemma 3.1, there exist a lower solution $y_{*_{n+1}}$ and a upper solution $y_{n+1}^{*}$ of the problem (3.11) and (3.12) respectively such that

$$
y_{*}(t) \leq y_{*_{n}}(t) \leq y_{*_{n+1}}(t) \leq y_{n+1}^{*}(t) \leq y_{n}^{*}(t) \leq y^{*}(t), \text { for all } t \in J
$$

and

$$
y^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*_{n}}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t), \text { for all } t \in J
$$

which implies that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
y_{*}(t) \leq y_{*_{n}}(t) \leq y_{*_{n+1}}(t) \leq y_{n+1}^{*}(t) \leq y_{n}^{*}(t) \leq y^{*}(t), \text { for all } t \in J
$$

and

$$
y^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*_{n+1}}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*_{n}}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t), \text { for all } t \in J
$$

Step II ${ }^{\star}$. The sequences $\left\{y_{n}^{*}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ converges to a maximal solution of the problem (1.1).

By Step $\mathbf{I}^{\star}$., and since $\left\|y_{n}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})} \leq M^{*}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it is clear that the sequence $\left\{y_{n}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R})$. We put $J_{1}=\left[0, t_{1}\right], J_{2}=$ $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right], \cdots, J_{m}=\left(t_{m-1}, t_{m}\right], J_{m+1}=\left(t_{m}, 1\right]$, then $J=\bigcup_{k=1}^{m+1} J_{k}$.

Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $t, s \in J_{1}$, such that $t<s$, then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and by $\left(\mathbf{A}_{4}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime}(s)\right)\right)^{\prime}-\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
& \leq\left|\int_{t}^{s}\left[b(\tau) g\left(\tau, y_{n}^{*}(\tau), y_{n}^{* \prime}(\tau), y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}(\tau),\left\|y_{n}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right)-C\left(y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}(\tau)-y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime}\right)\right] d \nu(\tau)\right| \\
& \leq\left(C_{1}(g)+2 C C_{2}\right)|s-t|
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
C_{1}(g):=\max \left\{|b(t) g(t, \alpha, \sigma, \beta, \gamma)|\left|t \in J, y_{*} \leq y \leq y^{*}, y^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq \beta \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t),|\gamma| \leq M_{0}\right\}\right.
$$

and

$$
C_{2}=\max \left\{y^{\prime \prime}(t), y_{*} \leq y \leq y^{*}, y^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\}
$$

If we put $M_{1}=\left(C_{1}(g)+2 C C_{2}\right)$, one has

$$
\left|\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}(s)\right)\right)^{\prime}-\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}\right| \leq M_{1}|s-t| .
$$

Then if we take $|s-t| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{M_{1}+1}$, we get

$$
\left|\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}(s)\right)\right)^{\prime}-\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon .
$$

Therefore the sequence $\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{n}^{* \prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\prime}$ is equi-continuous on $J_{1}$.
Now since $\varphi_{p}^{-1}$ is an increasing homecomorphism from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$, we infer from

$$
\left|y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}(s)-y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}(t)\right|=\left|\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}(s)\right)\right)-\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)\right)\right|<\varepsilon
$$

that the sequence $\left\{y_{n}^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous on $J_{1}$ and it is not difficult to prove that $\left\{y_{n}^{*}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $C^{3}\left(J_{1}\right)$.

Hence by Ascoli-Arzela's theorem there exists a subsequence $\left\{y_{n}^{*\left\{a_{1}\right\}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\left\{y_{n}^{*}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which converges in $C^{3}\left(J_{1}\right)$.

Consider the subsequence $\left\{y_{n}^{*\left\{a_{1}\right\}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ on the interval $J_{2}$. On this interval the subsequence $\left\{y_{n}^{*\left\{a_{1}\right\}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous. So, it has a subsequence $\left\{y_{n}^{*\left\{a_{2}\right\}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ will converge uniformly on the interval $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$.

Continuing this process for the intervals $\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right], \cdots,\left(t_{m}, t_{m+1}\right]$, we see that the sequence $\left\{y_{n}^{*}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a subsequence $\left\{y_{n}^{*\left\{a_{m+1}\right\}}\right\}_{n \in N}$ which will converge uniformly on the interval $J$. Let $y^{a_{m+1}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}^{*\left\{a_{m+1}\right\}}$. Then $\left(y^{a_{m+1}}\right)^{(i)}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(y_{n}^{*\left\{a_{m+1}\right\}}\right)^{(i)}$ for $i=1,2,3$.

But by Step $\mathbf{I}^{\star}$. the sequence $\left\{y_{n}^{*}\right\}_{n \in N}$ is decreasing and bounded from below, then the pointwise limit of this sequence exists and it is denoted by $y_{\sharp}$. Hence, we have $y^{a_{m+1}}=y_{\sharp}$.

Let $k \in\{0,1, \cdots, m\}$ be fixed, and $t, s \in\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\varphi_{p}\left(y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}(s)\right)=\varphi_{p}\left(y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)+\int_{t}^{s} G_{n}(\tau) d \nu(\tau),
$$

where

$$
G_{n}(t)=b(t) g\left(\tau, y_{n}^{*}(\tau), y_{n}^{* \prime}(\tau), y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}(\tau),\left\|y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime \prime}(\tau)\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right)-C\left(y_{n}^{* \prime \prime}(\tau)-y_{n+1}^{* \prime \prime}(\tau)\right) .
$$

Now, as $n \longrightarrow+\infty$, we obtain

$$
G_{n}(t) \longrightarrow b(t) g\left(\tau, y_{\sharp}(\tau), y_{\sharp}^{\prime}(\tau), y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime}(\tau),\|y\|_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\tau) \|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right) .
$$

Also, there exists a positive number $L_{4}>0$ such that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\tau \in J$, we have

$$
\left\|G_{n}(t)\right\| \leq L_{4}
$$

Hence, the dominated convergence theorem of Lebesgue implies that

$$
\varphi_{p}\left(y_{\sharp}(t)^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=\varphi_{p}\left(y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)\right)+\int_{s}^{t} b(\tau) g\left(\tau, y_{\sharp}(\tau), y_{\sharp}^{\prime}(\tau), y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime}(\tau),\left\|y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\tau)\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right) d \nu(\tau) .
$$

Thus, for $k=0,1, \cdots, m$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=b(t) g\left(t, y_{\sharp}(t), y_{\sharp}^{\prime}(t), y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime}(t),\left\|y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right), \quad t \in\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=b(t) g\left(t, y_{\sharp}(t), y_{\sharp}^{\prime}(t), y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime}(t),\left\|y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})}\right), \quad t \in J^{\prime} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since the functions $a_{j}(j=1,2,3,4)$ are continuous, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta y_{\sharp}(0)-\lambda_{1} y_{\sharp}^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{1}(s) y_{\sharp}(s) d \nu(s)  \tag{3.21}\\
\eta y_{\sharp}(1)+\lambda_{2} y_{\sharp}^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{2}(s) y_{\sharp}(s) d \nu(s) \\
\eta y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{3}(s) y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s) \\
\eta y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{4}(s) y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly since the functions $I_{k}, N_{k}, L_{k}$ and $R_{k}$ are continuous for $k=1, \cdots, m$. Thus we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{\sharp}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{\sharp}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+I_{k}\left(y_{\sharp}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m  \tag{3.22}\\
y_{\sharp}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{\sharp}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+N_{k}\left(y_{\sharp}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m \\
y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+L_{k}\left(y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m \\
y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)+R_{k}\left(y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now using a proof similar to that of Lemma 2.12., we prove that $\left\|y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{P C(J, \mathbb{R})} \leq M^{*}$. Hence, $y_{\sharp}$ is a solution of the problem (1.1).

Now, we prove that if $y_{\curlyvee}$ is another lower solution of problem (1.1) such that $y_{*} \leq y_{\curlyvee} \leq y^{*}$ and $y^{* \prime \prime} \leq y_{\curlyvee}^{\prime \prime} \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}$ in $J$, then $y_{\curlyvee} \leq y_{\sharp}$ and $y_{\sharp}^{\prime \prime} \leq y_{\curlyvee}^{\prime \prime}$ in $J$. Since $y_{\curlyvee}$ is a lower solution of problem (1.1), then by Step $\mathbf{I}^{\star}$. we obtain $y_{\curlyvee} \leq y_{n}^{*}$ and $y_{n}^{* \prime \prime} \leq$ $y_{\curlyvee}^{\prime \prime}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. Letting $n \longrightarrow+\infty$, we obtain $y_{\curlyvee} \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y_{n}^{*}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y_{n}^{* \prime \prime} \leq y_{\curlyvee}^{\prime \prime}$, which means that $y_{\sharp}$ is a maximal solution of the problem (1.1).
Step III ${ }^{\star}$. The sequence $\left\{y_{* n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to minimal solution $y^{\sharp}(t)$ of problem (1.1).

Similar to the proof of the part of Step $\mathbf{I I}^{\star}$., we make a little change to get a conclusion. What needs special explanation is that we have to construct subsequence $\left\{y_{* n\left\{a_{m+1}\right\}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the sequence $\left\{y_{* n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is increasing and bounded, then the pointwise limit of this sequence exists and it is denoted by $y^{\sharp}$. Let $y_{a_{m+1}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{* n\left\{a_{m+1}\right\}}$. Hence, we have $y_{a_{m+1}}=y^{\sharp}$. The remaining parts of description is omitted. Consequently, the proof of our main result is complete.

Remark 3.3. It follows from Theorem 3.1 we know that the maximal solution $y_{\sharp}$ and minimal solution $y^{\sharp}$ for the problem (1.1) have been obtained by constructing the iterative sequence $\left\{y_{n}^{*}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{y_{* n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $y^{*}$ and $y_{*}$ respectively as the initial value.

## 4. EXAMPLES AND DISCUSSIONS

In this section, we would like to use the previous result to present the following examples. We would also give some discussions.

Example 4.1. We consider the following boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=b(t) g\left(t, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right), t \in J^{\prime}=[0,1] \backslash\left\{\frac{1}{3}\right\},  \tag{4.1}\\
y\left(\frac{1}{3}^{+}\right)=y\left(\frac{1}{3}^{-}\right)+4, \quad y^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{-}\right), \\
y^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{-}\right), \quad y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{-}\right), \\
\eta y(0)-\lambda_{1} y^{\prime}(0)=\frac{4}{3} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{s} y(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y(1)+\lambda_{2} y^{\prime}(1)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} s^{2} y(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y^{\prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} s^{3} y^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y^{\prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=\frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{1} s^{4} y^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\varphi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s, p>1, \nu(s)=s^{2}, \eta, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ and $\lambda_{4}$ are positive real numbers such that $\eta=6,0<\lambda_{1} \leq \frac{28}{27}, \frac{2273}{1215} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq 2,0<\lambda_{3}=\frac{73}{84}, 0<\lambda_{4} \leq \frac{1}{90}$ and $f: J \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function defined by

$$
f(t)= \begin{cases}2 t+\frac{1}{3}, & \text { if } t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right], \\ \frac{1-\cos 2\left(t-\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\left(t-\frac{1}{3}\right)^{2}}+2, & \text { if } t \in\left(\frac{1}{3}, 1\right] .\end{cases}
$$

The function $f: J \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous for $t \neq \frac{1}{3}, f\left(\frac{1}{3}^{-}\right)=1$ and $f\left(\frac{1}{3}^{+}\right)=4$. We put by definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(t) g\left(t, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=f(t)\left(3 t+2 y-t y^{\prime}-t y^{\prime \prime}+8 y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
y_{*}(t)= \begin{cases}-3 t, & \text { if } t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right] \\ -3 t+4, & \text { if } t \in\left(\frac{1}{3}, 1\right]\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
y^{*}(t)= \begin{cases}-t^{5}-t^{4}-t^{3}+t+16, & \text { if } t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right], \\ -t^{5}-t^{4}-t^{3}+t+20, & \text { if } t \in\left(\frac{1}{3}, 1\right] .\end{cases}
$$

We have $y_{*}(t) \leq y^{*}(t)$ and $y^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ for all $t \in J$. It is easy to check that $\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=0$ for all $t \in J^{\prime}$, and

$$
b(t) g\left(t, y_{*}, y_{*}^{\prime}, y_{*}^{\prime \prime}, y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right] \\ 8 f(t), & \text { if } t \in\left(\frac{1}{3}, 1\right] .\end{cases}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} \leq b(t) g\left(t, y_{*}, y_{*}^{\prime}, y_{*}^{\prime \prime}, y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right), \text { for all } t \in J^{\prime} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{*}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{+}\right)=y_{*}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{-}\right)+4, \quad y_{*}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{+}\right)=y_{*}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{-}\right),  \tag{4.4}\\
y_{*}^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{+}\right)=y_{*}^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{-}\right), \quad y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{+}\right)=y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{-}\right), \\
\eta y_{*}(0)-\lambda_{1} y_{*}^{\prime}(0)=3 \lambda_{1} \leq \frac{4}{3} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{s} y_{*}(s) d \nu(s)=\frac{28}{9}, \\
\eta y_{*}(1)+\lambda_{2} y_{*}^{\prime}(1)=6-3 \lambda_{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} s^{2} y_{*}(s) d \nu(s)=\frac{157}{405}, \\
\eta y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=0 \geq-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} s^{3} y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s)=0, \\
\eta y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=0 \geq \frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{1} s^{4} y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then by (4.3) and (4.4), it follows that $y_{*}$ is a lower solution of problem (4.1).
Similarly, we have $\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{* \prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=0$ for all $t \in J^{\prime}$, and

$$
b(t) g\left(t, y^{*}, y^{* \prime}, y^{* \prime \prime}, y^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right)=\frac{1}{t} \begin{cases}\left(-t^{4}+3 t^{3}+6 t^{2}-10 t\right) f(t), & \text { if } t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right] \\ \left(-t^{4}+2 t^{3}+3 t^{2}-11 t\right) f(t), & \text { if } t \in\left(\frac{1}{3}, 1\right] .\end{cases}
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{* \prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} \geq b(t) g\left(t, y^{*}, y^{* \prime}, y^{* \prime \prime}, y^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right), \text { for all } t \in J^{\prime} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{*}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{+}\right)=y^{*}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{-}\right)+4, \quad y^{* \prime}\left(\frac{1^{+}}{3}\right)=y^{* \prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{-}\right),  \tag{4.6}\\
y^{* \prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{+}\right)=y^{* \prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{-}\right), \quad y^{* \prime \prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{+}\right)=y^{* \prime \prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}^{-}\right), \\
\eta y^{*}(0)-\lambda_{1} y^{* \prime}(0)=96-\lambda_{1} \geq \frac{4}{3} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{s} y^{*}(s) d \nu(s)=\frac{742}{15} \\
\eta y^{*}(1)+\lambda_{2} y^{* \prime}(1)=108-11 \lambda_{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} s^{2} y^{*}(s) d \nu(s) \geq \frac{109061}{22680} \\
\eta y^{* \prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y^{* \prime \prime}(0)=6 \lambda_{3} \leq-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} s^{3} y^{* \prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s)=\frac{73}{14}, \\
\eta y^{* \prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y^{* \prime \prime \prime}(1)=-288-90 \lambda_{4} \leq \frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{1} s^{4} y^{* \prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s)=-\frac{233}{63}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, by making use of (4.5) and (4.6), it follows that $y^{*}$ is a upper solution of problem (4.1).

On the other hand, it is easy to show that the function $g$ defined by (4.2) satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 and therefore, it follows that the problem (4.1) has a minimal and a maximal solution. Consequently, the problem (4.1) has at least two solutions.

Example 4.2. We study the following boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=b(t) g\left(t, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right), t \in J^{\prime}=[0,1] \backslash\left\{\frac{3}{4}\right\},  \tag{4.7}\\
y\left(\frac{3}{4}^{+}\right)=y\left(\frac{3}{4}^{-}\right)+1, \quad y^{\prime}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{-}\right), \\
y^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{-}\right), \quad y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{-}\right), \\
\eta y(0)-\lambda_{1} y^{\prime}(0)=-\frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{1} s y(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y(1)+\lambda_{2} y^{\prime}(1)=2 \int_{0}^{1} s^{2} y(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y^{\prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\frac{1}{7} \int_{0}^{1} s^{4} y^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s), \\
\eta y^{\prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=\frac{1}{9} \int_{0}^{1} s^{5} y^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\varphi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s, p>1, \nu(s)=2 s+1, \eta, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ and $\lambda_{4}$ are positive real numbers such that $\eta=1,0<\lambda_{1} \leq \frac{25}{288}, \lambda_{2}=\frac{29}{10}, \lambda_{3}=\frac{89}{210}, \lambda_{4}=\frac{11}{10003}$ and $f: J \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function defined by

$$
f(t)= \begin{cases}t+\frac{5}{4}, & \text { if } t \in\left[0, \frac{3}{4}\right] \\ \frac{\tan \left(t-\frac{3}{4}\right)}{t-\frac{3}{4}}+2, & \text { if } t \in\left(\frac{3}{4}, 1\right]\end{cases}
$$

The function $f: J \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous for $t \neq \frac{3}{4}, f\left(\frac{3}{4}^{-}\right)=2$ and $f\left(\frac{3}{4}^{+}\right)=3$. We put by definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(t) g\left(t, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=f(t)\left(3+3 t+y+y^{\prime}-t^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+6 t y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
y_{*}(t)= \begin{cases}-3 t, & \text { if } t \in\left[0, \frac{3}{4}\right] \\ -3 t+1, & \text { if } t \in\left(\frac{3}{4}, 1\right]\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
y^{*}(t)= \begin{cases}-\frac{1}{3} t^{3}-\frac{1}{2} t^{2}+3 t+8, & \text { if } t \in\left[0, \frac{3}{4}\right], \\ -\frac{1}{3} t^{3}-\frac{1}{2} t^{2}+3 t+9, & \text { if } t \in\left(\frac{3}{4}, 1\right] .\end{cases}
$$

We have $y_{*}(t) \leq y^{*}(t)$ and $y^{* \prime \prime}(t) \leq y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ for all $t \in J$. It is easy to check that $\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=0$ for all $t \in J^{\prime}$, and

$$
b(t) g\left(t, y_{*}, y_{*}^{\prime}, y_{*}^{\prime \prime}, y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } t \in\left[0, \frac{3}{4}\right], \\ f(t), & \text { if } t \in\left(\frac{3}{4}, 1\right] .\end{cases}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} \leq b(t) g\left(t, y_{*}, y_{*}^{\prime}, y_{*}^{\prime \prime}, y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right), \text { for all } t \in J^{\prime} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{*}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{+}\right)=y_{*}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{-}\right)+1, \quad y_{*}^{\prime}\left(\frac{3}{4}+\right)=y_{*}^{\prime}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{-}\right),  \tag{4.10}\\
y_{*}^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{+}\right)=y_{*}^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{-}\right), \quad y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{+}\right)=y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{-}\right), \\
\eta y_{*}(0)-\lambda_{1} y_{*}^{\prime}(0)=3 \lambda_{1} \leq-\frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{1} s y_{*}(s) d \nu(s)=\frac{25}{96}, \\
\eta y_{*}(1)+\lambda_{2} y_{*}^{\prime}(1)=-2-3 \lambda_{2} \leq 2 \int_{0}^{1} s^{2} y_{*}(s) d \nu(s)=-\frac{107}{48}, \\
\eta y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=0 \geq \frac{1}{7} \int_{0}^{1} s^{4} y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s)=0, \\
\eta y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y_{*}^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=0 \geq \frac{1}{9} \int_{0}^{1} s^{5} y_{*}^{\prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then by (4.9) and (4.10), it follows that $y_{*}$ is a lower solution of problem (4.7).
Similarly, we have $\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{* \prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=0$ for all $t \in J^{\prime}$, and

$$
b(t) g\left(t, y^{*}, y^{* \prime}, y^{* \prime \prime}, y^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(-t^{3}+6 t^{2}+5 t-12\right) f(t), & \text { if } t \in\left[0, \frac{3}{4}\right] \\ \left(-t^{3}+6 t^{2}+5 t-13\right) f(t), & \text { if } t \in\left(\frac{3}{4}, 1\right]\end{cases}
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{* \prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} \geq b(t) g\left(t, y^{*}, y^{* \prime}, y^{* \prime \prime}, y^{* \prime \prime \prime}\right), \text { for all } t \in J^{\prime} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{*}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{+}\right)=y^{*}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{-}\right)+1, \quad y^{* \prime}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{+}\right)=y^{* \prime}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{-}\right),  \tag{4.12}\\
y^{* \prime \prime}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{+}\right)=y^{* \prime \prime}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{-}\right), \quad y^{* \prime \prime \prime}\left(\frac{3^{+}}{4}\right)=y^{* \prime \prime \prime}\left(\frac{3}{4}^{-}\right), \\
\eta y^{*}(0)-\lambda_{1} y^{* \prime}(0)=8-3 \lambda_{1} \geq-\frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{1} s y^{*}(s) d \nu(s)=-\frac{2413}{1440}, \\
\eta y^{*}(1)+\lambda_{2} y^{* \prime}(1)=\frac{67}{6}+\lambda_{2} \geq 2 \int_{0}^{1} s^{2} y^{*}(s) d \nu(s) \geq \frac{9947}{720}, \\
\eta y^{* \prime \prime}(0)-\lambda_{3} y^{* \prime \prime \prime}(0)=-1+2 \lambda_{3} \leq \frac{1}{7} \int_{0}^{1} s^{4} y^{* \prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s)=-\frac{16}{105}, \\
\eta y^{* \prime \prime}(1)+\lambda_{4} y^{* \prime \prime}(1)=-3-2 \lambda_{4} \leq \frac{1}{9} \int_{0}^{1} s^{5} y^{* \prime \prime}(s) d \nu(s)=-\frac{19}{189} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then by (4.11) and (4.12), it follows that $y^{*}$ is a upper solution of the problem (4.7).
On the other hand, it is easy to show that the function $b(t) g\left(t, y^{*}, y^{* \prime}, y^{* \prime \prime}, y^{* \prime \prime}\right)$ defined by (4.8) satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 and therefore, it follows that the problem (4.7) has a minimal and a maximal solution. Consequently, the problem (4.7) has at least two solutions.

We discuss the conditions in the paper. It is easy to know that the functions satisfying the conditions of the theorem 3.1 are rather wide. For example, we can obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 4.3. Let $y_{*}(t)$ and $y^{*}(t)$ be a lower and upper solution respectively for problem (1.1) such that $y_{*}(t) \leq y^{*}(t)$ in $J$. Assume that the conditions ( $\boldsymbol{A}_{i}$ ) for $i=0,1,2,3,4$ and $\left(H_{1}\right)$ with $\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold, and the Nagumo-Wintner conditions relative to $y_{*}$ and $y^{*}$ are satisfied. Then the problem (1.1) has a solution $y^{*}$ with $y_{*}(t) \leq$ $y^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(t) \leq y^{*}(t)$ in $J$.

Remark 4.4. From above discussions, it is clear that our results unify, improve and extend the results in [16], [22] and [23] with [31].
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